

The **Hope** for a Resurrected Child

By many accounts, Ann and Drew Sophila were the happiest married couple in history. When they met there was no question that each had found their partner for life. Their happiness was only compounded by the birth of their son Junior. In Junior, the Sophilas saw a combination of the very best parts of each other. They cherished every moment they had together and reveled in watching Junior grow with each passing day.

DNA DAY!

Bio-Clone Inc.

nes 253 Start habi des proses b

Her Clone for.

Sadly, the Sophilas' happiness ended tragically while on holiday at the ocean. During a picnic on a chartered boat away from the crowds, a terrible boating accident claimed the lives of both Drew and Junior. Ann survived, but was absolutely devastated by the loss of her precious son and loving husband.

Stricken with grief and unable to move on, Ann knew she would never feel "normal" again without a child to raise and love. Ann could not bear the thought of having a child that was not Drew's, however. A local biotech company had been advertising breakthrough advances in cloning technology that enabled them to clone organisms from very small samples of DNA. According to the company, a small sample of bodily fluid, tissue, or hair from an organism yielded enough DNA to successfully produce a clone. This gave Ann a wonderful idea.

She rummaged through the house until she found Junior's hair brush. Trapped in the bristles was enough of Junior's hair to produce a clone. A clone produced in this manner would not only bring a child, but a child with a biological connection to her dear late husband back into Ann's life. Ann contacted the biotech company immediately and was informed about an important process they must go through before they can begin.



In an effort to regulate human cloning, the federal government has set up a new
Human Cloning Ethics Committee to oversee all cloning practices. The Human Cloning Ethics
Committee consists of a research scientist, a doctor, the president of a biotech company, a
psychologist, a member of the clergy, and a member of the community. Biotech companies must
receive approval from the Committee before they begin any cloning projects. Ann's request is
the first of this type the Committee has considered.

Is this a case where human cloning should be allowed? Consider this question from one or more of the following viewpoints:

- 1) A research scientist
- 2) A doctor
- 3) The president of a biotechnology company
- 4) A psychologist
- 5) A member of the clergy
- 6) A community member